

Novel reductions of Kampé de Fériet function

Arjun Kumar Rathie ^a and Tibor K. Pogány ^{b,c,*}

ABSTRACT. The aim of this article is to provide in all thirty two novel and general reductions of two and three variables generalized hypergeometric functions. This is achieved by the applications of the so-called Beta integral transform method developed by Krattenthaler and Srinivasa Rao, and Gamma integral method to the well known identities involving products of generalized hypergeometric functions. As special cases, we mention a few known as well as unknown interesting results.

1. Introduction and results required

The generalized hypergeometric function ${}_pF_q[x]$ is defined for complex parameters and argument by the series [1, 2, 4, 45, 58, 59]

$$(1.1) \quad {}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \middle| x \right] = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(a_1)_n \cdots (a_p)_n}{(b_1)_n \cdots (b_q)_n} \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

Here the Pochhammer symbol or ascending factorial $(a)_n$, $a \neq 0$ is given for nonnegative integer n by

$$(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)} = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 0 \\ a(a+1) \cdots (a+n-1) & n \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases},$$

where Γ stands for the familiar Gamma functions, while $(0)_0 = 1$ is conventionally used. When $p \leq q$ this series converges for $x \in \mathbb{C}$, but when $q = p - 1$ convergence occurs for $|x| < 1$ unless the series terminates.

The vast popularity and immense usefulness of the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1[x]$ and the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_pF_q[x]$ in one variable have inspired and stimulated a number of researchers in mathematics to study hypergeometric functions in two or more variables.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 33C65, 33C20; Secondary: 33B15, 33C05, 33C15.
Key words and phrases. Generalized hypergeometric function, Kampé de Fériet function, Srivastava-Daoust double hypergeometric function, Triple series, Lauricella hypergeometric function.
 The research of Tibor K. Pogány was supported in part of the University of Rijeka, Croatia under projects labeled uniri-pr-prirod-19-16 and uniri-tehnic-18-66.

*Corresponding author: Tibor K. Pogány.

In this sequel the *Srivastava–Daoust generalization of the Lauricella hypergeometric function* F_D in n variables is defined by multiple power series [55, p. 454]

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S}_{C:D';\dots;D^{(n)}}^{A:B';\dots;B^{(n)}} \left(\begin{array}{c} [(a) : \theta', \dots, \theta^{(n)}] : [(b') : \varphi']; \dots; [(b^{(n)}) : \varphi^{(n)}] \\ [(c) : \psi'; \dots; \psi^{(n)}] : [(d') : \delta']; \dots; [(d^{(n)}) : \delta^{(n)}] \end{array} \middle| \mathbf{x} \right) \\ &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n \geq 0} \Omega(m_1, \dots, m_n) \frac{x_1^{m_1}}{m_1!} \cdots \frac{x_n^{m_n}}{m_n!}, \end{aligned}$$

with the summation coefficient kernel

$$\Omega(m_1, \dots, m_n) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^A (a_j)_{m_1 \theta'_j + \dots + m_n \theta_j^{(n)}} \prod_{j=1}^{B'} (b'_j)_{m_1 \varphi'_j} \cdots \prod_{j=1}^{B^{(n)}} (b_j^{(n)})_{m_n \varphi_j^{(n)}}}{\prod_{j=1}^C (c_j)_{m_1 \psi'_j + \dots + m_n \psi_j^{(n)}} \prod_{j=1}^{D'} (d'_j)_{m_1 \delta'_j} \cdots \prod_{j=1}^{D^{(n)}} (d_j^{(n)})_{m_n \delta_j^{(n)}}},$$

where the parameters satisfy

$$\theta'_1, \dots, \theta'_A, \dots, \delta_1^{(n)}, \dots, \delta_{D^{(n)}}^{(n)} > 0,$$

and the shorthands $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$ and $[X : 1] = X$ are used in the symbolic description (1.2). For convenience, we write (a) to denote the sequence of A parameters a_1, \dots, a_A , with similar interpretations for $(b'), \dots, (d^{(n)})$. Empty products should be interpreted as unity. Srivastava and Daoust [56, pp. 157–158] reported that the series in (1.2) converges absolutely

(i) for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ when

$$\Delta_\ell = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^C \psi_j^{(\ell)} + \sum_{j=1}^{D^{(\ell)}} \delta_j^{(\ell)} - \sum_{j=1}^A \theta_j^{(\ell)} - \sum_{j=1}^{B^{(\ell)}} \varphi_j^{(\ell)} > 0, \quad \ell = \overline{1, n};$$

(ii) for $|x_\ell| < \eta_\ell$ when $\Delta_\ell = 0$, $\ell = \overline{1, n}$, where

$$\eta_\ell := \min_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n > 0} \left\{ \mu_\ell \frac{1 + \sum_{j=1}^{D^{(\ell)}} \delta_j^{(\ell)} - \sum_{j=1}^{B^{(\ell)}} \varphi_j^{(\ell)}}{\prod_{j=1}^C \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n \mu_\ell \psi_j^{(\ell)} \right)^{\psi_j^{(\ell)}} \prod_{j=1}^{D^{(\ell)}} (\delta_j^{(\ell)})^{\delta_j^{(\ell)}}} \right\}.$$

When all $\Delta_\ell < 0$, $\mathcal{S}_{C:D';\dots;D^{(n)}}^{A:B';\dots;B^{(n)}}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ diverges except at the origin, that is, this series is formal.

The further set of conditions for convergence of the series $\mathcal{S}_{C:D';\dots;D^{(n)}}^{A:B';\dots;B^{(n)}}$ is given in [56]. We remark at this point that the Srivastava–Daoust \mathcal{S} generalized Lauricella hypergeometric function for $n = 2$ reduces to $\mathcal{S}_{C:D;D'}^{A:B;B'}$, the Srivastava–Daoust generalized Kampé de Fériet hypergeometric function of two variables initially introduced in [54, 55]. Detailed account of the above function can be found in [56] and in the monograph [58].

In turn, specifying the parameter-array $(\theta'_1, \dots, \theta'_A, \dots, \delta_1^{(n)}, \dots, \delta_{D^{(n)}}^{(n)}) = (1, \dots, 1)$, we call the resulting \mathcal{S} function a *Kampé de Fériet generalized hypergeometric function* signifying it by F (instead of \mathcal{S}). The *Kampé de Fériet hypergeometric function of two variables* (abbreviated also as KdF function in the sequel) defined by the double power series [3, p. 150] in a notation given e.g. by Srivastava and Panda [60, p. 423, Eq. (26)]

$$(1.3) \quad F_{l:m;n}^{p;q;k} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_p) : (b_q); (c_k) \\ (\alpha_l) : (\beta_m); (\gamma_n) \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} x \\ y \end{matrix} \right] = \sum_{r,t \geq 0} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_{r+t} \prod_{j=1}^q (b_j)_r \prod_{j=1}^k (c_j)_t}{\prod_{j=1}^l (\alpha_j)_{r+t} \prod_{j=1}^m (\beta_j)_r \prod_{j=1}^n (\gamma_j)_t} \frac{x^r}{r!} \frac{y^t}{t!},$$

which converges when [56]

- (iii) $p + q < l + m + 1$, $p + k < l + n + 1$, $\max\{|x|, |y|\} < \infty$, or
(iv) $p + q = l + m + 1$, $p + k = l + n + 1$ and

$$\begin{cases} |x|^{\frac{1}{p-l}} + |y|^{\frac{1}{p-l}} < 1, & l < p \\ \max\{|x|, |y|\} < 1, & l > p \end{cases}.$$

We point out that these convergence conditions immediately follow from (i) and (ii).

Special attention is given to the *triple hypergeometric series* $F^{(3)}[x, y, z]$ of Srivastava and its special cases [53, p. 428]. The exact power series definition of this higher transcendental function reads [58, p. 44, Eqs. (14-15)]

$$F^{(3)} \left[\begin{matrix} (a) :: (b); (b'); (b'') : (c); (c'), (c'') \\ (e) :: (g); (g'); (g'') : (h); (h'); (h'') \end{matrix} \middle| x, y, z \right] = \sum_{m,n,p \geq 0} \Lambda(m, n, p) \frac{x^m}{m!} \frac{y^n}{n!} \frac{z^p}{p!},$$

for which the shorthand notation $F^{(3)}[z, y, z]$ is used, and the kernel expression

$$\Lambda(m, n, p) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^A (a_j)_{m+n+p} \prod_{j=1}^B (b_j)_{m+n} \prod_{j=1}^{B'} (b'_j)_{m+p} \prod_{j=1}^{B''} (b''_j)_{n+p} \prod_{j=1}^C (c_j)_m \prod_{j=1}^{C'} (c'_j)_n \prod_{j=1}^{C''} (c''_j)_p}{\prod_{j=1}^E (e_j)_{m+n+p} \prod_{j=1}^G (g_j)_{m+n} \prod_{j=1}^{G'} (g'_j)_{m+p} \prod_{j=1}^{G''} (g''_j)_{n+p} \prod_{j=1}^H (h_j)_m \prod_{j=1}^{H'} (h'_j)_n \prod_{j=1}^{H''} (h''_j)_p}.$$

For the Kampé de Fériet function, Srivastava–Daoust double hypergeometric function and Srivastava triple series, there are lots of reductions formulae available in the literature. Early ones can be found in the research articles like [6], [14–17], [19], [21–23], [29, 30], [32, 33], [34, 35], [39–44], [47–56] and [61–66] and for many more results, we refer to the standard monographs [20, 58]. For recent ones, we refer the research articles [5], [7–13], [24, 25], [28], [36], [46], [57].

Krattenthaler and Srinivasa Rao [26] showed how identities for hypergeometric series for some fixed value of the argument (usually 1) can be derived from known hypergeometric identities with a similar number of parameters involving arguments $x, 1 - x$ or a combination of their powers. The basic idea of the method isto multiply the known hypergeometric indetity by the factor $x^{d-1}(1-x)^{e-d-1}$ with suitable parameters d, e , integrate term by term making use of the Beta integral representation

$$\int_0^1 x^{d-1}(1-x)^{e-d-1} dt = B(d, e-d) = \frac{\Gamma(d)\Gamma(e-d)}{\Gamma(e)}, \quad \Re(e) > \Re(d) > 0,$$

where $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ stands for the Euler Beta function. For the hypergeometric function and finally re-write the result in terms of a new hypergeometric series. This so-called Beta integral method has been automated using computer algebra and the software package HYP to generate both old and new results. We may, by this technique, apply Gamma integral method as well.

In our present investigation we shall require the following known identities see e.g. Prudnikov *et al.* [38, p. 488, Eq. 7.11.1.7]

$${}_1F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ 2\alpha + n \end{matrix} \middle| x \right] = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \frac{1}{2})}{(\frac{1}{4}x)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}} e^{\frac{1}{2}x} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-n)_k (2\alpha - 1)_k}{(2\alpha + n)_k k!} (\alpha + k - \frac{1}{2}) I_{\alpha + k - \frac{1}{2}}(\frac{1}{2}x),$$

valid for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and where I_ν stands for the modified Bessel function of the first kind. By virtue of the well-known transformation formula [1, p. 377, Eq. 9.6.47]

$$(1.4) \quad I_\nu(z) = \frac{(\frac{1}{2}z)^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} {}_0F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} - \\ \nu + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{4}z^2 \right],$$

we re-write the previous display in terms of the confluent hypergeometric function into the equivalent form:

$$(1.5) \quad {}_1F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ 2\alpha + n \end{matrix} \middle| x \right] = e^{\frac{1}{2}x} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-n)_k (2\alpha - 1)_k}{(2\alpha + n)_k (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_k k!} (\frac{1}{4}x)^k {}_0F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} - \\ \alpha + k + \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{16}x^2 \right],$$

in turn [38, p. 487, Eq. 7.11.1.6]

$$(1.6) \quad {}_1F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ 2\alpha - n \end{matrix} \middle| x \right] = \Gamma(\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2}) (\frac{1}{4}x)^{n - \alpha + \frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}x} \times \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k (-n)_k (2\alpha - 2n - 1)_k}{(2\alpha - n)_k k!} (\alpha + k - n - \frac{1}{2}) I_{\alpha + k - n - \frac{1}{2}}(\frac{1}{2}x),$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Applying the same transformation formula (1.4) by which we re-write the modified Bessel function of the first kind into a confluent hypergeometric function the previous display becomes

$$(1.7) \quad e^{-\frac{1}{2}x} {}_1F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ 2\alpha - n \end{matrix} \middle| x \right] = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k (-n)_k (2\alpha - 2n - 1)_k (\frac{1}{4}x)^k}{(2\alpha - n)_k (\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2})_k k!} \times {}_0F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} - \\ \alpha + k - n + \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{16}x^2 \right],$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Using the same method as Rathie in [48], Choi and Rathie [10] expressed the square of the confluent (or Kummer's) hypergeometric function having contiguous parameters built three results in the form of a double finite sum involving the associated

generalized hypergeometric ${}_2F_3$ function terms [10, p. 1597–98, Eqs. (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3)]

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ {}_1F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ 2\alpha + n \end{matrix} \middle| x \right] \right\}^2 &= e^x \sum_{j,k=0}^n \frac{(-n)_j (-n)_k (2\alpha - 1)_j (2\alpha - 1)_k x^{j+k}}{4^{j+k} (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_j (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_k (2\alpha + n)_j (2\alpha + n)_k j! k!} \\ (1.8) \quad &\times {}_2F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha + \frac{j+k}{2}, \alpha + \frac{j+k+1}{2} \\ \alpha + j + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha + k + \frac{1}{2}, 2\alpha + j + k \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{4}x^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ {}_1F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ 2\alpha - n \end{matrix} \middle| x \right] \right\}^2 &= e^x \sum_{j,k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{j+k} (-n)_j (-n)_k (2\alpha - 2n - 1)_j (2\alpha - 2n - 1)_k x^{j+k}}{4^{j+k} (\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2})_j (\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2})_k (2\alpha - n)_j (2\alpha - n)_k j! k!} \\ (1.9) \quad &\times {}_2F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha - n + \frac{j+k}{2}, \alpha - n + \frac{j+k+1}{2} \\ \alpha - n + j + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha - n + k + \frac{1}{2}, 2(\alpha - n) + j + k \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{4}x^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_1F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ 2\alpha + n \end{matrix} \middle| x \right] {}_1F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ 2\alpha - n \end{matrix} \middle| x \right] \\ &= e^x \sum_{j,k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k (-n)_j (-n)_k (2\alpha - 1)_j (2\alpha - 2n - 1)_k x^{j+k}}{4^{j+k} (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_j (\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2})_k (2\alpha + n)_j (2\alpha - n)_k j! k!} \\ (1.10) \quad &\times {}_2F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha + \frac{j+k-n}{2}, \alpha + \frac{j+k-n+1}{2} \\ \alpha + j + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha - n + k + \frac{1}{2}, 2\alpha - n + j + k \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{x^2}{4} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For $n = 0$ the results (1.5) and (1.7) reduce to the following Kummer second theorem [27] viz.

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}x} {}_1F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ 2\alpha \end{matrix} \middle| x \right] = {}_0F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} - \\ \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{x^2}{16} \right],$$

whereas for $n = 0$ the formulae (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10) reduce to the following well-known Preece's identity [37]

$$\left\{ {}_1F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ 2\alpha \end{matrix} \middle| x \right] \right\}^2 = e^x {}_1F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ \alpha + \frac{1}{2}, 2\alpha \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{x^2}{4} \right].$$

The organization of the article is following. In sections 2 and 3, we shall establish reduction formulae between generalized hypergeometric functions, Kampé de Fériet function and Srivastava–Daoust \mathcal{S} -function of two variables by the application of the Beta and Gamma integral methods to the known identities (1.5) and (1.7) respectively. Sections 4, 5, and 6 deal with the reduction formulae between generalized hypergeometric function, Kampé de Fériet function and Srivastava–Daoust \mathcal{S} -function of two variables and Srivastava triple series by the application of the Beta and Gamma integration methods to the identities (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10), respectively.

2. The first set of reduction formulae

PROPOSITION 2.1. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\Re(e) > \Re(d) > 0$ there hold true:*

$$(2.1) \quad F_{1:0;1}^{1:0;1} \left[\begin{matrix} d : - & ; & \alpha \\ e : - & ; & 2\alpha + n \end{matrix} \middle| -\frac{1}{2}x \right] = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-n)_k (2\alpha - 1)_k (d)_k}{(2\alpha + n)_k (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_k (e)_k k!} \left(\frac{1}{4}x\right)^k \\ \times {}_2F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{d+k}{2}, \frac{d+k+1}{2} \\ \alpha + k + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{e+k}{2}, \frac{e+k+1}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{16}x^2 \right],$$

$$(2.2) \quad {}_2F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha, d \\ 2\alpha + n, e \end{matrix} \middle| x \right] = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-n)_k (2\alpha - n)_k (d)_k}{(2\alpha + n)_k (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_k (e)_k k!} \left(\frac{x}{4}\right)^k \\ \times \mathcal{S}_{1:0;1}^{1:0;0} \left(\begin{matrix} [d : 1, 2] : - & ; & - \\ [e : 1, 2] : - & ; & [\alpha + k + \frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{16}x^2 \right),$$

$$(2.3) \quad {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha, d \\ 2\alpha + n \end{matrix} \middle| x \right] = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-n)_k (2\alpha - 1)_k (d)_k x^k}{4^k (2\alpha + n)_k (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_k k!} \mathcal{S}_{0:0;1}^{1:0;0} \left(\begin{matrix} [d + k : 1, 2] : - & ; & - \\ - : - & ; & [\alpha + k + \frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{16}x^2 \right),$$

$$(2.4) \quad F_{0:0;1}^{1:0;1} \left[\begin{matrix} d : - & ; & \alpha \\ - : - & ; & 2\alpha + n \end{matrix} \middle| -\frac{1}{2}x \right] = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-n)_k (2\alpha - 1)_k (d)_k x^k}{4^k (2\alpha + n)_k (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_k k!} {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{d+k}{2}, \frac{d+k+1}{2} \\ \alpha + k + \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{4}x^2 \right].$$

PROOF. The proofs of all these results are quiet straightforward. In order to establish the display (2.1) use proceed as follows. Re-write (1.5) into:

$$(2.5) \quad e^{-\frac{1}{2}x} {}_1F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ 2\alpha + n \end{matrix} \middle| x \right] = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-n)_k (2\alpha - 1)_k}{(2\alpha + n)_k (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_k k!} \left(\frac{1}{4}x\right)^k {}_0F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} - \\ \alpha + k + \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{x^2}{16} \right].$$

Firstly, express

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}x} = {}_0F_0 \left[\begin{matrix} - \\ - \end{matrix} \middle| -\frac{1}{2}x \right],$$

then replace x with xt , multiply both sides by $t^{d-1}(1-t)^{e-d-1}$ and integrate with respect to t in the unit interval, getting

$$(2.6) \quad L_1 := \int_0^1 t^{d-1}(1-t)^{e-d-1} {}_0F_0 \left[\begin{matrix} - \\ - \end{matrix} \middle| -\frac{1}{2}xt \right] {}_1F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ 2\alpha + n \end{matrix} \middle| xt \right] dt \\ = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-n)_k (2\alpha - 1)_k}{(2\alpha + n)_k (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_k k!} \left(\frac{1}{4}x\right)^k \int_0^1 t^{d+k-1}(1-t)^{e-d-1} {}_0F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} - \\ \alpha + k + \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{x^2 t^2}{16} \right] dt =: R_1.$$

Representing both hypergeometric terms as series, after some simplification we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \sum_{k,m \geq 0} \frac{(\alpha)_m}{(2\alpha+n)_m} \frac{(-\frac{1}{2}x)^k x^m}{k!m!} \int_0^1 t^{d+k+m-1} (1-t)^{e-d-1} dt \\ &= B(d, e-d) \sum_{k,m \geq 0} \frac{(d)_{k+m}}{(e)_{k+m}} \frac{(\alpha)_m}{(2\alpha+n)_m} \frac{(-\frac{1}{2}x)^k x^m}{k! m!}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by virtue of the definition (1.3) of the KdF function we conclude that

$$L_1 = B(d, e-d) F_{1:0:1}^{1:0:1} \left[\begin{matrix} d: & - & ; & \alpha \\ e: & - & ; & 2\alpha+n \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} -\frac{1}{2}x \\ x \end{matrix} \right].$$

On the other hand, as to the right-hand-side of (2.6) R_1 , say, express ${}_0F_1$ as a series, change the order if integration and summation (which is a legitimate operation), evaluate the Beta integral, using the Pochhammer symbol's definition and after some reduction of the matter, summing up with the generalized hypergeometric series (1.1) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \frac{\Gamma(d)\Gamma(e-d)}{\Gamma(e)} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-n)_k (2\alpha-1)_k (d)_k}{(2\alpha+n)_k (\alpha-\frac{1}{2})_k (e)_k k!} \left(\frac{1}{4}x\right)^k \\ &\quad \times {}_2F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{d+k}{2}, \frac{d+k+1}{2} \\ \alpha+k+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{e+k}{2}, \frac{e+k+1}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{16}x^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, equating L_1 and R_1 , we arrive at the statement (2.1).

For establishing the second statement (2.2) apply the result (1.5) and repeat similar lines as that given in the previous proof.

In obtaining the third formula (2.3) consider (1.5) in which substitute xt instead of x and write the hypergeometric term on the left-hand-side $L_2(t)$, say, as the power series. Multiplying this expression with the Gamma integral kernel $e^{-t} t^{d-1}$, $\Re(d) > 0$ and integrating with respect to t on the positive real half-axis, after routine transformations getting

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{d-1} L_2(t) dt = \Gamma(d) \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{(\alpha)_j (d)_j}{(2\alpha+n)_j j!} \frac{x^j}{j!} = \Gamma(d) {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha, d \\ 2\alpha+n \end{matrix} \middle| x \right].$$

Now, replacing x with xt , expanding the right-hand-side's $R_2(t)$, say, both exponential and hypergeometric terms into Maclaurin series. This results in a triple series

$$R_2(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j,m \geq 0} \frac{(-n)_k (2\alpha-1)_k \left(\frac{1}{4}x\right)^k \left(\frac{1}{2}x\right)^j \left(\frac{1}{16}x^2\right)^m}{(2\alpha+n)_k (\alpha-\frac{1}{2})_k (\alpha+k+\frac{1}{2})_m k! j! m!} t^{k+j+2m};$$

Then we repeat the previous Gamma integral procedure in (1.5) by which the subsequent integral becomes

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{d-1} R_2(t) dt = \Gamma(d) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-n)_k (2\alpha-1)_k \left(\frac{1}{4}x\right)^k}{(2\alpha+n)_k (\alpha-\frac{1}{2})_k k!} \sum_{j,m \geq 0} \frac{(d+k)_{j+2m}}{(\alpha+k+1)_m} \frac{\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^j}{j!} \frac{\left(\frac{x^2}{16}\right)^m}{m!},$$

such that coincides with the right-hand-side expression in (2.3). The rest is obvious.

Finally, as to the result (2.4) we start with (2.5) using the same method in obtaining the asserted result. \square

2.1. Special cases. If we set $n = 0$ in the formulae (2.1)–(2.4) we immediately deduce the following corollaries of the Proposition 2.1., respectively:

$$(2.7) \quad F_{1:0;1}^{1:0;1} \left[\begin{array}{c} d: - ; \alpha \\ e: - ; 2\alpha \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{2}x \\ x \end{array} \right] = {}_2F_3 \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{d}{2}, \frac{d+1}{2} \\ \alpha + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{e}{2}, \frac{e+1}{2} \end{array} \middle| \frac{1}{16}x^2 \right],$$

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{S}_{1:0;1}^{1:0;0} \left(\begin{array}{c} [d: 1, 2]: - ; - \\ [e: 1, 2]: -; [\alpha + \frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}x \\ \frac{1}{16}x^2 \end{array} \right) = {}_2F_2 \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha, d \\ 2\alpha, e \end{array} \middle| x \right],$$

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{S}_{0:0;1}^{1:0;0} \left(\begin{array}{c} [d: 1; 2]: -; - \\ -: -; [\alpha + \frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}x \\ \frac{1}{16}x^2 \end{array} \right) = {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha, d \\ 2\alpha \end{array} \middle| x \right],$$

$$(2.10) \quad F_{0:0;1}^{1:0;1} \left[\begin{array}{c} d: - ; \alpha \\ -: - ; 2\alpha \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{2}x \\ x \end{array} \right] = {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{d}{2}, \frac{d+1}{2} \\ \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \middle| \frac{1}{4}x^2 \right].$$

A plethora of further particular results can be inferred by appropriately fixing n in Proposition 2.1. We also point out that these four formulae hold for all $\Re(e) > \Re(d) > 0$, according to the ancestor proposition's preambula.

We close this section remarking that another reduction formulae given in subsequent sections can be proven now in a straightforward way mimicking both proving procedures of the Proposition 2.1. Accordingly, the subsequent results are given without proofs which are left as exercises for the interested readers.

3. The second set of reduction formulae

Here we establish reduction formulae which originate back to (1.6), that is (1.7).

PROPOSITION 3.1. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\Re(e) > \Re(d) > 0$ we have*

$$(3.1) \quad F_{1:0;1}^{1:0;1} \left[\begin{array}{c} d: - ; \alpha \\ e: - ; 2\alpha - n \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{2}x \\ x \end{array} \right] = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k (-n)_k (2\alpha - 2n - 1)_k (d)_k}{(2\alpha - n)_k (\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2})_k (e)_k k!} \left(\frac{1}{4}x\right)^k \\ \times {}_2F_3 \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{d+k}{2}, \frac{d+k+1}{2} \\ \alpha + k - n + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{e+k}{2}, \frac{e+k+1}{2} \end{array} \middle| \frac{1}{16}x^2 \right],$$

$$(3.2) \quad {}_2F_2 \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha, d \\ 2\alpha - n, e \end{array} \middle| x \right] = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k (-n)_k (2\alpha - 2n - 1)_k (d)_k}{(2\alpha - n)_k (\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2})_k (e)_k k!} \left(\frac{x}{4}\right)^k \\ \times \mathcal{S}_{1:0;1}^{1:0;0} \left(\begin{array}{c} [d: 1, 2]: - ; - \\ [e: 1, 2]: -; [\alpha + k - n + \frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}x \\ \frac{1}{16}x^2 \end{array} \right),$$

$$(3.3) \quad {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha, d \\ 2\alpha - n \end{array} \middle| x \right] = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k (-n)_k (2\alpha - 2n - 1)_k (d)_k x^k}{4^k (2\alpha - n)_k (\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2})_k k!} \\ \times \mathcal{S}_{0:0;1}^{1:0;0} \left(\begin{array}{c} [d+k: 1; 2]: -; - \\ -: -; [\alpha + k - n - \frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}x \\ \frac{1}{16}x^2 \end{array} \right),$$

$$(3.4) \quad F_{0:0;1}^{1:0;1} \left[\begin{matrix} d : - & ; \alpha & \mid & -\frac{1}{2}x \\ - : - & ; 2\alpha - n & \mid & x \end{matrix} \right] = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k (-n)_k (2\alpha - 2n - 1)_k (d)_k x^k}{4^k (2\alpha - n)_k (\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2})_k k!}$$

$$\times {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{d+k}{2}, \frac{d+k+1}{2} & \mid & \frac{1}{4}x^2 \\ \alpha + k - n + \frac{1}{2} & \mid & \end{matrix} \right].$$

It is interesting to mention here that if in (3.1)–(3.4) we set $n = 0$ we arrive immediately at the corollaries (2.7)–(2.9) of the previous proposition.

4. The third set of reduction formulae

In this section we establish further reduction formulae which hold for all nonnegative integer n and complex input parameters α . To infer the results exposed below, the starting equality is (1.8). Here we evidently show the connecting relations between Kampé de Fériet functions $F_{1:1;1}^{1:1;1}[x, -x]$, $F_{0:1;1}^{1:1;1}[x, -x]$ and the appropriate triple Lauricella functions $F^{(3)}[-x, x, x]$ via finite linear combinations of contiguous generalized hypergeometric ${}_4F_5[x^2/4]$ and ${}_4F_3[x^2/4]$, respectively.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $d, e; \Re(e) > \Re(d) > 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$(4.1) \quad F_{1:1;1}^{1:1;1} \left[\begin{matrix} d : \alpha & ; & \alpha & \mid & x \\ e : 2\alpha + n; 2\alpha + n & \mid & & & x \end{matrix} \right] = \sum_{j, k=0}^n \frac{(d)_{j+k} (-n)_j (-n)_k (2\alpha - 1)_j (2\alpha - 1)_k (\frac{1}{4}x)^{j+k}}{(e)_{j+k} (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_j (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_k (2\alpha + n)_j (2\alpha + n)_k j! k!}$$

$$\times \mathcal{S}_{1:0;3}^{1:0;2} \left(\begin{matrix} [d + j + k : 1, 2] : - ; [\alpha + \frac{j+k}{2}, 1]; [\alpha + \frac{j+k+1}{2}, 1] & \mid & x \\ [e + j + k : 1, 2] : - ; [\alpha + j + \frac{1}{2}, 1]; [\alpha + k + \frac{1}{2}, 1]; [2\alpha + j + k, 1] & \mid & \frac{1}{4}x^2 \end{matrix} \right),$$

$$(4.2) \quad F_{1:1;1}^{1:1;1} \left[\begin{matrix} d : \alpha & ; & \alpha + n & \mid & x \\ e : 2\alpha + n; 2\alpha + n & \mid & & & -x \end{matrix} \right] = F^{(3)} \left[\begin{matrix} d : - ; - ; - ; - ; \alpha & \mid & -x, x, x \\ e : - ; - ; - ; - ; 2\alpha + n; 2\alpha + n & \mid & \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{j, k=0}^n \frac{(-n)_j (-n)_k (2\alpha - 1)_j (2\alpha - 1)_k (d)_{j+k} x^{j+k}}{4^{j+k} (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_j (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_k (2\alpha + n)_j (2\alpha + n)_k (e)_{j+k} j! k!}$$

$$\times {}_4F_5 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha + \frac{j+k}{2}, \alpha + \frac{j+k+1}{2}, \frac{d+j+k}{2}, \frac{d+j+k+1}{2} & \mid & \frac{1}{4}x^2 \\ \alpha + j + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha + k + \frac{1}{2}, 2\alpha + j + k, \frac{e+j+k}{2}, \frac{e+j+k+1}{2} & \mid & \end{matrix} \right],$$

Moreover, we have

$$(4.3) \quad F_{0:1;1}^{1:1;1} \left[\begin{matrix} d : \alpha & ; & \alpha & \mid & x \\ - : 2\alpha + n; 2\alpha + n & \mid & & & x \end{matrix} \right] = \sum_{j, k=0}^n \frac{(-n)_j (-n)_k (2\alpha - 1)_j (2\alpha - 1)_k (d)_{j+k} x^{j+k}}{4^{j+k} (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_j (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_k (2\alpha + n)_j (2\alpha + n)_k j! k!}$$

$$\times \mathcal{S}_{0:0;3}^{1:0;2} \left(\begin{matrix} [d + j + k : 1, 2] : - ; [\alpha + \frac{j+k}{2}, 1]; [\alpha + \frac{j+k+1}{2}, 1] & \mid & x \\ - : - ; [\alpha + j + \frac{1}{2}, 1]; [\alpha + k + \frac{1}{2}, 1]; [2\alpha + j + k, 1] & \mid & \frac{1}{4}x^2 \end{matrix} \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{0:1:1}^{1:1:1} \left[\begin{array}{c} d : \alpha ; \alpha + n \\ - : 2\alpha + n ; 2\alpha + n \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ -x \end{array} \right] &= F^{(3)} \left[\begin{array}{c} d :: - ; - ; - ; - ; \alpha ; \alpha \\ - :: - ; - ; - ; - ; 2\alpha + n ; 2\alpha + n \end{array} \middle| -x, x, x \right] \\
&= \sum_{j,k=0}^n \frac{(-n)_j (-n)_k (2\alpha - 1)_j (2\alpha - 1)_k (d)_{j+k} x^{j+k}}{4^{j+k} (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_j (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_k (2\alpha + n)_j (2\alpha + n)_k j! k!} \\
(4.4) \quad &\times {}_4F_3 \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha + \frac{j+k}{2}, \alpha + \frac{j+k+1}{2}, \frac{d+j+k}{2}, \frac{d+j+k+1}{2} \\ \alpha + j + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha + k + \frac{1}{2}, 2\alpha + j + k \end{array} \middle| \frac{1}{4}x^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

4.1. Special cases. Setting $n = 0$, keeping valid the parameters' range $d, e; \Re(e) > \Re(d) > 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ in (4.1)–(4.4), we get the following results.

$$(4.5) \quad F_{1:1:1}^{1:1:1} \left[\begin{array}{c} d : \alpha ; \alpha \\ e : 2\alpha ; 2\alpha \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ x \end{array} \right] = \mathcal{S}_{1:0:2}^{1:0:1} \left(\begin{array}{c} [d : 1, 2] : - ; [\alpha, 1] \\ [e : 1, 2] : - ; [\alpha + \frac{1}{2}, 1]; [2\alpha, 1] \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ \frac{1}{4}x^2 \end{array} \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_3F_4 \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha, \frac{d}{2}, \frac{d+1}{2} \\ \alpha + \frac{1}{2}, 2\alpha, \frac{e}{2}, \frac{e+1}{2} \end{array} \middle| \frac{1}{4}x^2 \right] &= \begin{cases} F_{1:1:1}^{1:1:1} \left[\begin{array}{c} d : \alpha ; \alpha \\ e : 2\alpha ; 2\alpha \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ -x \end{array} \right] \\ F^{(3)} \left[\begin{array}{c} d :: - ; - ; - ; - ; \alpha ; \alpha \\ e :: - ; - ; - ; - ; 2\alpha ; 2\alpha \end{array} \middle| -x, x, x \right] \end{cases} ; \\
F_{0:1:1}^{1:1:1} \left[\begin{array}{c} d : \alpha ; \alpha \\ - : 2\alpha ; 2\alpha \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ x \end{array} \right] &= \mathcal{S}_{0:0:2}^{1:0:1} \left(\begin{array}{c} [d : 1, 2] : - ; [\alpha, 1] \\ - : - ; [\alpha + \frac{1}{2}, 1]; [2\alpha, 1] \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ \frac{1}{4}x^2 \end{array} \right), \\
(4.6) \quad {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha, \frac{d}{2}, \frac{d+1}{2} \\ \alpha + \frac{1}{2}, 2\alpha \end{array} \middle| \frac{1}{4}x^2 \right] &= \begin{cases} F_{0:1:1}^{1:1:1} \left[\begin{array}{c} d : \alpha ; \alpha \\ - : 2\alpha ; 2\alpha \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ -x \end{array} \right] \\ F^{(3)} \left[\begin{array}{c} d :: - ; - ; - ; - ; \alpha ; \alpha \\ - :: - ; - ; - ; - ; 2\alpha ; 2\alpha \end{array} \middle| -x, x, x \right] \end{cases}.
\end{aligned}$$

The double relations (4.5) and (4.6) give very interesting interconnections between the generalized hypergeometric functions, KdF functions and Lauricella triple hypergeometric functions.

Similarly, by fixing the values of parameters involved another summation and reduction results can be obtained.

5. Fourth set of reduction formulae

Next, another reduction of the KdF function can be earned by treating (1.9) by the Beta and/or Gamma integral techniques.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the parameters $d, e, \Re(e) > \Re(d) > 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Introducing the shorthand $\alpha_n^\eta := \alpha + \eta - n, \eta \in \{j, k\}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{1:1;1}^{1:1;1} \left[\begin{array}{c} d : \alpha ; \alpha \\ e : 2\alpha - n ; 2\alpha - n \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ x \end{array} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j,k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{j+k} (-n)_j (-n)_k (2\alpha - 2n - 1)_j (2\alpha - 2n - 1)_k (d)_{j+k} \left(\frac{1}{4}x\right)^{j+k}}{(\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2})_j (\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2})_k (2\alpha - n)_j (2\alpha - n)_k (e)_{j+k} j! k!} \\ & \times \mathcal{S}_{1:0;2}^{1:0;3} \left(\begin{array}{c} [d + j + k : 1, 2] : - ; [\alpha - n + \frac{j+k}{2}, 1] ; [\alpha - n + \frac{j+k+1}{2}, 1] \\ [e + j + k : 1, 2] : - ; [\alpha_n^j + \frac{1}{2}, 1] ; [\alpha_n^k + \frac{1}{2}, 1] ; [\alpha_n^j + \alpha_n^k, 1] \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ \frac{1}{4}x^2 \end{array} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{1:1;1}^{1:1;1} \left[\begin{array}{c} d : \alpha ; \alpha - n \\ e : 2\alpha - n ; 2\alpha - n \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ -x \end{array} \right] = F^{(3)} \left[\begin{array}{c} d :: - ; - ; - ; - ; \alpha ; \alpha \\ e :: - ; - ; - ; - ; 2\alpha - n ; 2\alpha - n \end{array} \middle| -x, x, x \right] \\ &= \sum_{j,k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{j+k} (-n)_j (-n)_k (2\alpha - 2n - 1)_j (2\alpha - 2n - 1)_k (d)_{j+k}}{(\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2})_j (\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2})_k (2\alpha - n)_j (2\alpha - n)_k (e)_{j+k} j! k!} \left(\frac{x}{4}\right)^{j+k} \\ & \times {}_4F_5 \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha - n + \frac{j+k}{2}, \alpha - n + \frac{j+k+1}{2}, \frac{d+j+k}{2}, \frac{d+j+k+1}{2} \\ \alpha_n^j + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_n^k + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_n^j + \alpha_n^k, \frac{e+j+k}{2}, \frac{e+j+k+1}{2} \end{array} \middle| \frac{1}{4}x^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{0:1;1}^{1:1;1} \left[\begin{array}{c} d : \alpha ; \alpha \\ - : 2\alpha - n ; 2\alpha - n \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ x \end{array} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j,k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{j+k} (-n)_j (-n)_k (2\alpha - 2n - 1)_j (2\alpha - 2n - 1)_k (d)_{j+k} x^{j+k}}{4^{j+k} (\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2})_j (\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2})_k (2\alpha - n)_j (2\alpha - n)_k j! k!} \\ & \times \mathcal{S}_{0:0;3}^{1:0;2} \left(\begin{array}{c} [d + j + k : 1, 2] : - ; [\alpha + \frac{j+k}{2}, 1] ; [\alpha + \frac{j+k+1}{2}, 1] \\ - : - ; [\alpha_n^j + \frac{1}{2}, 1] ; [\alpha_n^k + \frac{1}{2}, 1] ; [\alpha_n^j + \alpha_n^k, 1] \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ \frac{1}{4}x^2 \end{array} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{0:1;1}^{1:1;1} \left[\begin{array}{c} d : \alpha ; \alpha - n \\ - : 2\alpha - n ; 2\alpha - n \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ -x \end{array} \right] = F^{(3)} \left[\begin{array}{c} d :: - ; - ; - ; - ; \alpha ; \alpha \\ - :: - ; - ; - ; - ; 2\alpha - n ; 2\alpha - n \end{array} \middle| -x, x, x \right] \\ &= \sum_{j,k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{j+k} (d)_{j+k} (-n)_j (-n)_k (2\alpha - 1)_j (2\alpha - 1)_k \left(\frac{1}{4}x\right)^{j+k}}{(\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2})_j (\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2})_k (2\alpha - n)_j (2\alpha - n)_k j! k!} \frac{j! k!}{j! k!} \\ & \times {}_4F_3 \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha - n + \frac{j+k}{2}, \alpha - n + \frac{j+k+1}{2}, \frac{d+j+k}{2}, \frac{d+j+k+1}{2} \\ \alpha_n^j + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_n^k + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_n^j + \alpha_n^k \end{array} \middle| \frac{1}{4}x^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

6. Fifth set of summation results

The results presented in this section are obtained by virtue of the Beta integral transform (two auxiliary parameters d, e) and the Gamma integral transformation method with one additional parameter d , which ones are applied to the starting formula (1.10).

PROPOSITION 6.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the parameters $d, e, \Re(e) > \Re(d) > 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\alpha_n^k := \alpha + k - n$ be a shorthand abbreviation throughout. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{1:1;1}^{1:1;1} \left[\begin{array}{c} d : \alpha \quad ; \quad \alpha \\ e : 2\alpha + n; 2\alpha - n \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ x \end{array} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j,k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k (-n)_j (-n)_k (2\alpha - 1)_j (2\alpha - 2n - 1)_k}{(\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_j (\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2})_k (2\alpha + n)_j (2\alpha - n)_k} \frac{(d)_{j+k}}{(e)_{j+k} j! k!} \left(\frac{x}{4}\right)^{j+k} \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{S}_{1:0;3}^{1:0;2} \left(\begin{array}{c} [d + j + k : 1, 2] : - ; [\frac{\alpha+j}{2} + \frac{\alpha_n^k}{2}, 1]; [\frac{\alpha+j+1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_n^k}{2}, 1] \\ [e + j + k : 1, 2] : - ; [\alpha + j + \frac{1}{2}, 1]; [\alpha_n^k + \frac{1}{2}, 1]; [\alpha + \alpha_n^k + j, 1] \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ \frac{1}{4}x^2 \end{array} \right); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{1:1;1}^{1:1;1} \left[\begin{array}{c} d : \alpha \quad ; \quad \alpha - n \\ e : 2\alpha + n; 2\alpha - n \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ -x \end{array} \right] = F^{(3)} \left[\begin{array}{c} d :: - ; - ; - ; - ; \alpha ; \alpha \\ e :: - ; - ; - ; - ; 2\alpha + n; 2\alpha - n \end{array} \middle| -x, x, x \right] \\ &= \sum_{j,k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k (-n)_j (-n)_k (2\alpha - 1)_j (2\alpha - 2n - 1)_k}{(\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_j (\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2})_k (2\alpha + n)_j (2\alpha - n)_k} \frac{(d)_{j+k}}{(e)_{j+k} j! k!} \left(\frac{x}{4}\right)^{j+k} \\ &\quad \times {}_4F_5 \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{\alpha+j}{2} + \frac{\alpha_n^k}{2}, \frac{\alpha+j+1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_n^k}{2}, \frac{d+j+k}{2}, \frac{d+j+k+1}{2} \\ \alpha + j + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_n^k + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha + j + \alpha_n^k, \frac{e+j+k}{2}, \frac{e+j+k+1}{2} \end{array} \middle| \frac{1}{4}x^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{0:1;1}^{1:1;1} \left[\begin{array}{c} d : \alpha ; \alpha \\ - : 2\alpha + n; 2\alpha - n \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ x \end{array} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j,k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k (-n)_j (-n)_k (2\alpha - 1)_j (2\alpha - 2n - 1)_k}{4^{j+k} (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_j (\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2})_k (2\alpha + n)_j (2\alpha - n)_k j! k!} \frac{(d)_{j+k} x^{j+k}}{j! k!} \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{S}_{0:0;3}^{1:0;2} \left(\begin{array}{c} [d + j + k : 1, 2] : - ; [\frac{\alpha+j}{2} + \frac{\alpha_n^k}{2}, 1]; [\frac{\alpha+j+1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_n^k}{2}, 1] \\ - : - ; [\alpha + j + \frac{1}{2}, 1]; [\alpha_n^k + \frac{1}{2}, 1]; [\alpha + j + \alpha_n^k, 1] \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ \frac{1}{4}x^2 \end{array} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{0:1;1}^{1:1;1} \left[\begin{array}{c} d : \alpha ; \alpha - n \\ - : 2\alpha + n; 2\alpha - n \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} x \\ -x \end{array} \right] = F^{(3)} \left[\begin{array}{c} d :: - ; - ; - ; - ; \alpha ; \alpha \\ - :: - ; - ; - ; - ; 2\alpha + n; 2\alpha - n \end{array} \middle| -x, x, x \right] \\ &= \sum_{j,k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k (-n)_j (-n)_k (2\alpha - 1)_j (2\alpha - 2n - 1)_k}{4^{j+k} (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})_j (\alpha - n - \frac{1}{2})_k (2\alpha + n)_j (2\alpha - n)_k j! k!} \frac{(d)_{j+k} x^{j+k}}{j! k!} \\ &\quad \times {}_4F_3 \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{\alpha+j}{2} + \frac{\alpha_n^k}{2}, \frac{\alpha+j+1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_n^k}{2}, \frac{d+j+k}{2}, \frac{d+j+k+1}{2} \\ \alpha + j + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_n^k + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha + j + \alpha_n^k \end{array} \middle| \frac{1}{4}x^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 1. *The main difference between the starting ancestor formula (1.10) in deriving the results of Proposition 6.1. from one side and in formulae (1.8) and (1.9) on the other side occur in their contiguous parameter signs which in present case are opposite in the confluent Kummer functions ${}_1F_1[x]$.*

REMARK 2. *Putting $n = 0$ everywhere in Proposition 6.1. we recognize that the 2.1. Specific cases and also 4.1. Specific cases are exactly covered in this way too.*

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz, I. A. Stegun (Eds.) *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*. Applied Mathematics Series **55**, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C., 1964; 9th Reprinted edition. New York: Dover Publications; 1972.
- [2] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey, R. Roy. *Special Functions*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 71. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [3] P. Appell, J. Kampé de Fériet. *Fonctions hypergéométriques. Polynômes d'Hermite*. Gautier-Villars, Paris, 1926.
- [4] W. N. Bailey. *Generalized Hypergeometric Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1935.
- [5] J. Batle, O. Ciftja, T. K. Pogány. Hypergeometric solutions for Coulomb self-energy model of uniformly charged hollow cylinder. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **30** (2019), No. 5, 418–430.
- [6] R. G. Buschman, H. M. Srivastava. Series identities and reducibility of Kampé de Fériet functions. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **91** (1982), No. 3, 435–440.
- [7] Junesang Choi, A. K. Rathie. On the reducibility of Kampé de Fériet function. *Honam Math. J.* **36** (2014), No. 2, 345–355.
- [8] Junesang Choi, A. K. Rathie. Reducibility of the Kampé de Fériet function. *Applied Mathematical Sciences* **9** (2015), No. 85, 4219–4232.
- [9] Junesang Choi, A. K. Rathie. Reducibility of certain Kampé de Fériet function with an application to generating relations for products of two Laguerre polynomials. *Filomat* **30** (2016), No. 7, 2059–2066.
- [10] Junesang Choi, A. K. Rathie. Two general results involving square of generalized hypergeometric series and a comparison result. *Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS)* **101** (2017), No. 7, 1593–1601.
- [11] Junesang Choi, Yong Sup Kim, A. Hasanov. Relations between the hypergeometric function of Appell F_3 and Kampé de Fériet functions. *Miskolc Math. Notes* **12** (2011), No. 2, 131–148.
- [12] Wen-Chang Chu, H. M. Srivastava. Ordinary and basic bivariate hypergeometric transformations associated with the Appell and Kampé de Fériet functions. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **156** (2003), No. 2, 355–370.
- [13] Dj. Cvijović, A. R. Miller. A reduction formula for the Kampé de Fériet function. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **23** (2010), No. 7, 769–771.
- [14] V. L. Deshpande. Some infinite summation formulae involving Kampé de Fériet function. *Defence Sci. J.* **21** (1971), No. 2, 125–130.
- [15] V. L. Deshpande. On infinite summation formulae involving Kampé de Fériet function. *An. Şti. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi Sect. I a Mat. (N.S.)* **18** (1972), 109–112.
- [16] V. L. Deshpande. Expansion theorems for the Kampé de Fériet function. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* **74 = Indag. Math.** **33** (1971), 39–46 (1972).
- [17] V.L. Deshpande. An identity and its inverse for Kampé de Fériet function of two variables. *J. Indian Acad. Math.* **7** (1985), No. 2, 96–104.
- [18] I. S. Gradshteyn, I. M. Ryzhik. *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*. Sixth edition. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 2000.
- [19] R. Juršėnas. On the definite integral of two confluent hypergeometric functions related to the Kampé de Fériet double series. *Lithuanian Math. J.* **54** (2014), No. 1, 61–73.
- [20] J. Kampé de Fériet. Les fonctions hypergéométriques d'ordre supérieur á deux variables. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **173** (1921), 401–404.
- [21] P. W. Karlsson. Reduction of certain generalized Kampé de Fériet functions. *Math. Scand.* **32** (1973), 265–268.
- [22] P. W. Karlsson. Some reduction formulae for double power series and Kampé de Fériet functions. *Indag. Math. (Proceedings) A* **87** (1984), No. 1, 31–36.
- [23] P. W. Karlsson, E. D. Krupnikov, H. M. Srivastava. Some hypergeometric transformation and reduction formulas involving Kampé de Fériet functions. *Int. J. Math. Stat. Sci.* **9** (2000), No. 2, 211–226.
- [24] Yong Sup Kim, S. Ali, N. Rathie. Generalized single integral involving Kampé de Fériet function. *J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.* **24** (2011), No. 2, 205–212.

- [25] Yong Sup Kim, T. K. Pogány, A. K. Rathie. On a reduction formula for the Kampé de Fériet function. *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* **43** (2014), No. 1, 65–68.
- [26] C. Krattenthaler, K. Srinivasa Rao. Automatic generation of hypergeometric identities by the beta integral method. In R. Jagannathan, S. Kanemitsu, G. Vanden Berghe, W. Van Assche (Eds.) *Proceedings of the International Conference on Special Functions and their Applications* (Chennai, 2002). *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **160** (2003), No. 1-2, 159–173.
- [27] E. E. Kummer. Über die hypergeometrische Reihe $1 + \frac{\alpha \cdot \beta}{1 \cdot \gamma} x + \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1) \cdot \beta(\beta+1)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot \gamma(\gamma+1)} x^2 + \dots$. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **15** (1836), 39–83 und 127–172.
- [28] Hongmei Liu, Weiping Wang. Transformation and summation formulae for Kampé de Fériet series. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **409** (2014), 100–110.
- [29] A. R. Miller, H. M. Srivastava. Reduction formulas for Kampé de Fériet functions associated with certain classes of incomplete Lipschitz-Hankel type integrals of cylindrical functions. *J. Franklin Inst.* **329** (1992), No. 1, 155–170.
- [30] A. R. Miller, H. M. Srivastava. Further reducible cases of certain Kampé de Fériet functions associated with incomplete integrals of cylindrical functions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **68** (1995), No. 2-3, 199–216.
- [31] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark. *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. NIST and Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [32] M. A. Pathan. On a generalized hypergeometric function of $(n + 1)$ variables. *The Journal of National Chiao Tung University* **6** (1979), 51–59.
- [33] M. A. Pathan, M. I. Qureshi, F. U. Khan. Reducibility of Kampé de Fériet’s hypergeometric series of higher order. *Serdica* **11** (1985), No. 1, 20–24.
- [34] S. N. Pitre, J. Van der Jeugt. Transformation and summation formulas for Kampé de Fériet series $F_{1,1}^{0,3}(1, 1)$. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **202** (1996), 121–132.
- [35] T. K. Pogány, S. Nadarajah. Remarks on the stable $S_\alpha(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$ distribution. *Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab.* **17** (2015), No. 2, 515–524.
- [36] T. K. Pogány, A. K. Rathie. Reduction of Srivastava-Daoust \mathcal{S} function of two variables. *Math. Pannon.* **24** (2013), No. 2, 253–260.
- [37] C. T. Preece. The product of two generalised hypergeometric functions. *Proc. London Math. Soc. Proc. (2)* **22** (1924), 370–380.
- [38] A. P. Prudnikov, Yu. A. Brychkov, O. I. Marichev. *Integrals and Series 3. More Special Functions*. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1990.
- [39] F. M. Ragab. Expansions of Kampé de Fériet’s double hypergeometric function of higher order. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **212** (1963), 113–119.
- [40] F. M. Ragab. Expansions into double Kampé de Fériet hypergeometric functions of higher orders. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **159** (1964), 519–521. (in Russian)
- [41] F. M. Ragab. Infinite series of Kampé de Fériet’s double hypergeometric function of higher order. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)* **16** (1967), 225–232.
- [42] F. M. Ragab. Integration of Kampé de Fériet’s hypergeometric functions with regard to their parameters. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)* **19** (1970), 225–242.
- [43] F. M. Ragab. Expansion of Kampé de Fériet’s double hypergeometric function of higher order. *J. Natur. Sci. and Math.* **12** (1972), 343–361.
- [44] F. M. Ragab, A. M. Hamza. Integrals involving E -functions and Kampé de Fériet functions of higher order. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **87** (1970), 11–24.
- [45] E. D. Rainville. *Special Functions*. The Macmillan Co., New York, 1960.
- [46] M. A. Rakha, M. M. Awad, A. K. Rathie. On a reducibility of the Kampé de Fériet function. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **38** (2015), No. 12, 2600–2605.
- [47] S. K. Rangarajan. Some identities involving Kampé de Fériet’s double hypergeometric functions. I. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Sect. A* **67** (1968), 247–261.
- [48] A. K. Rathie, A short proof of Preece’s identity and other contiguous results. *Rev. Mat. Estatist. (Brasil)* **15** (1997), 207–210.
- [49] M. A. Simary. Infinite series of Kampé de Fériet’s double hypergeometric functions of higher order. *Kyungpook Math. J.* **16** (1976), No. 2, 219–223.

- [50] M. A. Simary. Integrals involving Kampé de Fériet's double hypergeometric functions of higher order. *J. Natur. Sci. Math.* **16** (1976), No. 1-2, 81–85.
- [51] S. N. Singh, S. P. Singh. Transformation of Kampé de Fériet function. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **13** (1982), No. 10, 1163–1166.
- [52] M. B. Singh, B. M. L. Shrivastava. On some results involving the products of Horn's function and Kampé de Fériet function. *Math. Student* **50** (1982), No. 1-4, 231–238 (1987).
- [53] H. M. Srivastava. Generalized Neumann expansions involving hypergeometric functions. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **63** (1967), 425–429.
- [54] H. M. Srivastava, M. C. Daoust. On Eulerian integrals associated with Kampé de Fériet's function. *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.)* **9** (1969), 199–202.
- [55] H. M. Srivastava, M. C. Daoust. Certain generalized Neumann expansions associated with the Kampé de Fériet function. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* **72** = *Indag. Math.* **31** (1969), 449–457.
- [56] H. M. Srivastava, M. C. Daoust. A note on the convergence of Kampé de Fériet double hypergeometric series. *Math. Nachr.* **53** (1972), 151–159.
- [57] P. Srivastava, P. Gupta. A note on hypergeometric functions of one and two variables. *American Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* **3** (2013), No. 3, 182–185.
- [58] H. M. Srivastava, P. W. Karlsson. *Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series*. Ellis Horwood, Chichester, England, 1985.
- [59] H. M. Srivastava, H. L. Manocha. *A Treatise on Generating Functions*. Ellis Horwood Series: Mathematics and its Applications, Ellis Horwood Ltd., Chichester; Halsted Press [John Wiley & Sons, Inc.], New York, 1984.
- [60] H. M. Srivastava, R. Panda. An integral representation for the product of two Jacobi polynomials. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **12** (1976), 419–425.
- [61] A. Verma. Expansions involving hypergeometric functions of two variables. *Math. Comp.* **20** (1966), 590–596.
- [62] A. D. Wadhwa. A theorem on Kampé de Fériet function. *Math. Education* **4** (1970), A137–A139.
- [63] A. D. Wadhwa. Expansion formulae for the Kampé de Fériet function involving Bessel function. *Defence Sci. J.* **21** (1971), No. 1, 31–38.
- [64] A. D. Wadhwa. Fourier series for Kampé de Fériet function. *Defence Sci. J.* **21** (1971), No. 3, 179–186.
- [65] A. D. Wadhwa. Expansion of Kampé de Fériet function in series of other Kampé de Fériet functions. *Defence Sci. J.* **21** (1971), No. 4, 223–226.
- [66] A. D. Wadhwa. Some theorems on generalized hypergeometric function and Kampé de Fériet function. *Defence Sci. J.* **22** (1972), 63–68.

Received 11 01 2020, revised 16 05 2020

^a DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
VEDANT COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY,
(RAJASTHAN TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY),
BUNDI 323021, RAJASTHAN, INDIA
E-mail address: arjunkumarrathie@gmail.com

^b FACULTY OF MARITIME STUDIES, UNIVERSITY OF RIJEKA,
51000 RIJEKA, CROATIA

^c INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS,
ÓBUDA UNIVERSITY, 1034 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY
E-mail address: poganj@pfri.hr