

## On $g$ -scattered spaces

Xiaomin Li

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to investigate scatteredness on generalized topological spaces. The concept of  $g$ -scattered spaces is introduced. Their characterizations, properties and mapping theorems are obtained.

### 1. Introduction

The theory of generalized topological spaces, which was founded by Császár in recent years, is one of the most important development of general topology (see [4, 5, 6, 7, 8]). To make progress in applications of generalized topologies, some researchers have investigated generalized separation axioms [7, 13], generalized extremally disconnectedness [9], generalized hyperconnectedness [10], weak continuity and contra continuity on generalized topological spaces [14, 16], Baireness on generalized topological spaces [15].

A scattered space is defined as a topological space in which every nonempty subspace has its isolated points. All ordinal spaces are scattered. Scattered spaces are a class of important topological spaces. They have been researched deeply (see [1, 2, 3, 12, 17, 18]).

The purpose of this paper is to study scatteredness on generalized topological spaces. The concept of  $g$ -scattered spaces is introduced. Their characterizations, properties and mapping theorems are investigated.

### 2. Preliminaries

We recall some basic concepts and results.

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and let  $2^X$  be the power set of  $X$ .  $g \subset 2^X$  is called a generalized topology [4] (briefly, GT) on  $X$ , if

- (1)  $\emptyset \in g$
- (2)  $G_i \in g$  for each  $i \in I \neq \emptyset$  implies  $\bigcup_{i \in I} G_i \in g$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 03E10, 54A05, 54G12. Secondary 54A05, 54G12.

*Key words and phrases*. GTS's;  $g$ -scattered spaces,  $g$ -dense sets,  $g$ -isolated points,  $g$ -limit points,  $g$ -derived sets, GT-sums.

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11061004).

The pair  $(X, g)$  is called a generalized topological space (briefly, GTS). The elements of  $g$  are called  $g$ -open [4] subsets of  $X$  and the complements are called  $g$ -closed subsets of  $X$ .

Let  $(X, g)$  be a GTS and let  $x \in X$  and  $A \subset X$ . We denote  $g' = \{A : X - A \in g\}$ . The family of all  $g$ -open (resp.  $g$ -closed) subsets of  $(X, g)$  is also denoted by  $g_X$  (resp.  $g'_X$ ), i.e.,  $g_X = g$  (resp.  $g'_X = g'$ ). The family of all  $g$ -open (resp.  $g$ -closed) subsets of  $(X, g)$  containing  $x$  is denoted by  $g(x)$  or  $g_X(x)$  (resp.  $g'(x)$  or  $g'_X(x)$ ). The closure of  $A$  and the interior of  $A$  in  $(X, g)$  are respectively defined as follows:

$$cl_g(A) = \bigcap \{F : F \in g' \text{ and } A \subset F\},$$

$$int_g(A) = \bigcup \{U : U \in g \text{ and } U \subset A\}.$$

The operators  $cl_g(\cdot)$  and  $int_g(\cdot)$  are studied in [4] where it is observed that  $cl_g(\cdot)$  and  $int_g(\cdot)$  are idempotent (i.e.  $cl_g(cl_g(A)) = cl_g(A)$ ,  $int_g(int_g(A)) = int_g(A)$  for  $A \subset X$ ) and monotonic (i.e.  $cl_g(A) \subset cl_g(B)$ ,  $int_g(A) \subset int_g(B)$  for  $A \subset B \subset X$ ).

A GTS  $(X, g)$  is called strong [6], if  $X \in g$ . Clearly,

$$(X, g) \text{ is strong} \iff cl_g(\emptyset) = \emptyset \iff \emptyset \in g' \iff X \in g.$$

In this paper, spaces always mean GTS's on which no separation axiom is assumed, and all mappings are onto.  $N$  denotes the set of all natural numbers. We simply use  $cA$  and  $iA$  instead of  $cl_g(A)$  and  $int_g(A)$ , respectively.

Let  $(X, g)$  be a GTS and let  $A \subset S \subset X$ . Then  $(S, g_S)$  is called a subspace of  $(X, g)$ , where  $g_S = \{U \cap S : U \in g\}$  is a GT on  $S$ . We denote the closure of  $A$  and the interior of  $A$  in the subspace  $(S, g_S)$  by  $c_S A$  and  $i_S A$ , respectively.

LEMMA 2.1 ([4]). *Let  $(X, g)$  be a GTS and let  $A \subset X$ . Then*

- (1)  $cA = X - i(X - A)$ .
- (2)  $iA = X - c(X - A)$ .

LEMMA 2.2 ([9]). *Let  $(X, g)$  be a GTS and let  $A \subset X$ . Then  $x \in cA$  if and only if  $V \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for any  $V \in g(x)$ .*

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $(X, g)$  be a GTS and let  $A \subset S \subset X$ . Then  $c_S A = cA \cap S$ .*

PROOF. This is obvious. □

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $(X, g)$  be a GTS and let  $x \in A \subset X$ .

- (1)  $x$  is called a  $g$ -isolated point of  $A$  in  $X$ , if there exists  $U \in g(x)$  such that  $U \cap A = \{x\}$ .
- (2)  $x$  is called a  $g$ -limit point of  $A$  in  $X$ , if  $U \cap (A - \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $U \in g(x)$ .

The set of all  $g$ -isolated points of  $A$  in  $X$  is denoted by  $I_g(A)$ , short for  $I(A)$ . The set of all  $g$ -limit points of  $A$  in  $X$  is denoted by  $d_g(A)$ , short for  $d(A)$ , which is called the  $g$ -derived set of  $A$  in  $X$ .

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let  $(X, g)$  be a GTS and let  $A, B \subset X$ .

- (1)  $I(A) \subset A$ .
- (2)  $I(A) = A - d(A)$ .
- (3) a)  $A = I(A) \cup (d(A) \cap A)$ ;  
b)  $d(A) \cap A = A - I(A)$ .
- (4) a)  $I(A) \cap I(B) \subset I(A \cap B)$ ;  
b)  $I(A \cup B) \subset I(A) \cup I(B)$ .

PROOF. (1) This is obvious.

(2) Let  $x \in I(A)$ . Then there exists  $U \in \tau(x)$  such that  $U \cap A = \{x\}$ . This implies  $U \cap (A - \{x\}) = \emptyset$ . Then  $x \notin d(A)$ . Thus  $x \in A - d(A)$  and so  $I(A) \subset A - d(A)$ . Conversely, let  $x \in A - d(A)$ . Since  $x \notin d(A)$ , there exists  $U \in \tau(x)$  such that  $U \cap (A - \{x\}) = \emptyset$ . Note that  $U \cap A = \{x\}$ . Then  $x \in I(A)$  and so  $I(A) \supset A - d(A)$ . Hence  $I(A) = A - d(A)$ .

(3) a) For any  $x \in A$  and  $U \in \tau(x)$ ,  $U \cap A = \{x\}$  or  $U \cap \{A - \{x\}\} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $x \in I(A) \cup d(A)$  and  $A \subset I(A) \cup d(A)$ . Thus  $A \subset (I(A) \cup d(A)) \cap A = I(A) \cup (d(A) \cap A)$ . And  $A \supset (I(A) \cup d(A)) \cap A$ . Hence  $A = I(A) \cup (d(A) \cap A)$ .

b) This holds by a).

(4) This is obvious. □

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let  $(X, g_1)$  and  $(X, g_2)$  be two GTS's with  $g_1 \subset g_2$ . Then  $I_{g_1}(A) \subset I_{g_2}(A)$  for any  $A \subset X$ .

PROOF. This is obvious. □

### 3. $g$ -scattered spaces

**3.1. The concept of  $g$ -scattered spaces.** Recall that a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called scattered, if every nonempty subset has its isolated points.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $(X, g)$  be a GTS.  $X$  is called  $g$ -scattered, if  $I_g(A) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $A \in 2^X - \{\emptyset\}$ .

It is clear that every scattered space is  $g$ -scattered. But the following example illustrates that the converse is not true.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let  $X = N$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \{\{1\}\} \cup \{\{i, i+1\} : i \in N\}$  and  $g = \{G : G = \cup B' \text{ for some } B' \subset \mathcal{B} \cup \{\emptyset\}\}$ . Then  $(X, g)$  is a GTS.

Since  $\{1, 2\} \cap \{2, 3\} = \{2\} \notin g$ ,  $g$  is not a topology on  $X$ . Then  $(X, g)$  is not scattered.

Let  $A \in 2^X - \{\emptyset\}$ .

If  $1 \in A$ , then  $\{1\} \in g(1)$  and  $\{1\} \cap A = \{1\}$ . So  $1 \in I(A)$ . This implies  $I(A) \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $1 \notin A$ , then  $\{a-1, a\} \in g(a)$  and  $\{a-1, a\} \cap A = \{a\}$ , where  $a = \min A$ . So  $a \in I(A)$ . This implies  $I(A) \neq \emptyset$ .

Hence  $(X, g)$  is  $g$ -scattered.

### 3.2. Characterizations of $g$ -scattered spaces.

DEFINITION 3.3 ([10]). Let  $(X, g)$  be a GTS.  $A \subset X$  is called  $g$ -dense in  $X$ , if  $cA = X$ .

Let  $(X, g)$  be a GTS. The family of all  $g$ -dense subsets of  $X$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}$ . For the subspace  $(Y, g_Y)$ , the family of all  $g_Y$ -dense subsets of  $Y$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}(Y)$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{D}(Y) = \{A \subset Y : c_Y A = Y\}$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{D}(X) = \mathcal{D}$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $(X, g)$  be a GTS and let  $A \subset X$ . Then  $A$  is  $g$ -dense in  $X$  if and only if  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for any  $U \in g - \{\emptyset\}$ .*

PROOF. *Necessity.* Let  $A$  be  $g$ -dense in  $X$ . Suppose  $U \cap A = \emptyset$  for some  $U \in g - \{\emptyset\}$ . Pick  $x \in U$ . Clearly,  $U \in g(x)$  and  $x \in X = cA$ . Then  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ , a contradiction.

*Sufficiency.* Suppose  $cA \neq X$ . Then  $X - cA \neq \emptyset$ . Put  $U = X - cA$ . So  $U \in g - \{\emptyset\}$  and  $U \cap A = (X - cA) \cap A = \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

THEOREM 3.4. *Let  $(X, g)$  be a GTS. The following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $X$  is  $g$ -scattered.
- (2) For each  $A \in 2^X - \{\emptyset\}$ ,  $A \not\subset d(A)$ .
- (3) If  $A \in g' - \{\emptyset\}$ , then  $I(A) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (4)  $I(A) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  for any  $A \in 2^X - \{\emptyset\}$ ;
- (5) For any  $A \in 2^X - \{\emptyset\}$ ,  $D \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  if and only if  $D \supset I(A)$ ;
- (6)  $d(A) = d(I(A))$  for any  $A \in 2^X - \{\emptyset\}$ ;

PROOF. (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) holds by Proposition 2.5(2).

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is obvious.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $A \in 2^X - \{\emptyset\}$ . Since  $cA \in g' - \{\emptyset\}$ , by (3),  $I(cA) \neq \emptyset$ . Pick  $x \in I(cA)$ . Then  $U \cap cA = \{x\}$  for some  $U \in g(x)$ .

Suppose  $U \cap A = \emptyset$ . We have  $X - U \supset A$ . Then  $X - U \supset cA$ . So  $U \cap cA = \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Thus  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .

Since  $U \cap A \subset U \cap cA = \{x\}$ , we have  $U \cap A = \{x\}$ . So  $x \in I(A)$ . This implies  $I(A) \neq \emptyset$ .

Hence  $X$  is  $g$ -scattered.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) Let  $A \in 2^X - \{\emptyset\}$ . For any  $V \in g_A - \{\emptyset\}$ ,  $V = W \cap A$  for some  $W \in g$ . Since  $(X, g)$  is  $g$ -scattered,  $I(V) \neq \emptyset$ . Pick  $x \in I(V)$ ,  $U \cap V = \{x\}$  for some  $U \in g(x)$ . So  $(U \cap W) \cap A = U \cap (W \cap A) = U \cap V = \{x\}$ . Note that  $U \cap W \in g(x)$ . Then  $x \in I(A)$ . This implies  $x \in V \cap I(A)$  and then  $V \cap I(A) \neq \emptyset$ . By Lemma 4.7,  $c_A I(A) = A$ . Thus,  $I(A) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ .

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5) Let  $D \supset I(A)$ . By (4),  $A = c_A I(A) \subset c_A D$ . Thus  $D \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $D \not\supset I(A)$  for some  $D \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ . Then  $I(A) - D \neq \emptyset$ . Pick  $x \in I(A) - D$ . Then  $U \cap A = \{x\}$  for some  $U \in g(x)$ . Note that  $U \cap A \in g_A - \{\emptyset\}$  and  $D \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $D \cap (U \cap A) \neq \emptyset$ . But  $D \cap (U \cap A) = D \cap \{x\} = \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction.

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) is obvious.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (6) Since  $A \supset I(A)$ , we have  $d(A) \supset d(I(A))$ . It suffices to show  $d(A) \subset d(I(A))$ .

Suppose  $d(A) \not\subset d(I(A))$ . Then  $d(A) - d(I(A)) \neq \emptyset$ . Pick  $x \in d(A) - d(I(A))$ . By Proposition 2.5(2),  $I(A) = A - d(A)$ . Since  $x \in d(A)$ ,  $x \notin I(A)$ .

Since  $x \notin d(I(A))$ , there exists  $U \in g(x)$  such that  $U \cap (I(A) - \{x\}) = \emptyset$ . Note that  $x \notin I(A)$ . Then  $(U \cap A) \cap I(A) = U \cap I(A) = \emptyset$  with  $U \cap A \in g_A$ .

By (4),  $I(A) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ . Then  $V \cap I(A) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $V \in g_A$ . This is a contradiction.

Hence  $d(A) = d(I(A))$ .

(6)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Suppose  $I(A) = \emptyset$  for some  $A \in 2^X - \{\emptyset\}$ . By (6),  $d(A) = d(I(A)) = d(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ . By Proposition 2.5(3),  $A = I(A) \cup (d(A) \cap A) = \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

DEFINITION 3.5. Let  $X$  be a GTS. Put  $X^0 = X$  and

$$X^1 = \{x \in X : x \text{ is not } g\text{-isolated in } X\}.$$

Let  $\alpha$  be any ordinal number. If  $X^\beta$  is already defined for all ordinal  $\beta < \alpha$ , then we put

$$(3.1) \quad X^\alpha = \begin{cases} (X^\beta)^1, & \text{if } \alpha = \beta + 1 \text{ and } \beta \text{ is an ordinal number,} \\ \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} X^\beta, & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is a limit ordinal number.} \end{cases}$$

REMARK 3.1. (1)  $X^1 = X - I(X) = X \cap d(X)$ .

(2)  $X^\alpha \supset X^\beta$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .

(3)  $X^\alpha = X^{\alpha-1} - I(X^{\alpha-1}) = X^{\alpha-1} \cap d(X^{\alpha-1})$  for any successor ordinal number  $\alpha$ .

(4) If  $\alpha$  is a successor ordinal number and  $X^\alpha = \emptyset$ , then  $X = \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha-1} I(X^\beta)$ .

LEMMA 3.2.  $X^\delta = X^{\delta+1}$  for some ordinal number  $\delta$ .

PROOF. Put  $|X| = k$ . Then  $X^{k+1} = X^{k+2}$ . Pick  $\delta = k + 1$ . Then  $X^\delta = X^{\delta+1}$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let  $(X, g)$  be a GTS. The following properties hold.

(1)  $X^\alpha \in g'$  for any ordinal number  $\alpha$ .

(2) If  $Y \subset X$ , then  $Y^\alpha \subset X^\alpha$  for any ordinal number  $\alpha$ .

PROOF. (1) We use induction on  $\alpha$ .

1)  $\alpha = 1$ . Let  $x \in I(X)$ . Then  $U_x \cap X = \{x\}$  for some  $U_x \in g$ . This implies  $\{x\} = U_x \in g$ . Thus  $I(X) = \bigcup_{x \in I(X)} \{x\} \in g$ . Thus  $X^1 = X - I(X) \in g'$ .

2) Suppose  $X^\beta \in g'$  for any  $\beta < \alpha$ . We will prove  $X^\alpha \in g'$  in the following two cases.

a)  $\alpha$  is a successor ordinal number.

Let  $x \in I(X^{\alpha-1})$ . Then  $U_x \cap X^{\alpha-1} = \{x\}$  for some  $U_x \in \tau(x)$ . By Remark 3.9,  $X^\alpha = X^{\alpha-1} - I(X^{\alpha-1})$ . So

$$X^\alpha = X^{\alpha-1} - \bigcup_{x \in I(X^{\alpha-1})} \{x\} = (X - \bigcup_{x \in I(X^{\alpha-1})} U_x) \cap X^{\alpha-1}.$$

By induction hypothesis,  $X^{\alpha-1} \in g'$ . Thus  $X^\alpha \in g'$ .

b)  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal number.

By induction hypothesis,  $X^\beta \in g'$  for any  $\beta < \alpha$ . Thus  $X^\alpha = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} X^\beta \in g'$

(2) Let  $Y \subset X$ . We will prove  $Y^\alpha \subset X^\alpha$  for any ordinal number  $\alpha$ .

1)

$$Y^1 = Y \cap d(Y) \subset X \cap d(X) = X^1.$$

This shows  $Y^\alpha \subset X^\alpha$  when  $\alpha = 1$ .

2) Suppose  $Y^\beta \subset X^\beta$  for any  $\beta < \alpha$ . We consider the following two cases.

a)  $\alpha$  is a successor ordinal number.

By induction hypothesis,  $Y^{\alpha-1} \subset X^{\alpha-1}$ . By Remark 3.9,

$$Y^\alpha = Y^{\alpha-1} \cap d(Y^{\alpha-1}) \subset X^{\alpha-1} \cap d(X^{\alpha-1}) = X^\alpha$$

b)  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal number.

By induction hypothesis,  $Y^\beta \subset X^\beta$  for any  $\beta < \alpha$ . Thus

$$Y^\alpha = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} Y^\beta \subset \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} X^\beta = X^\alpha.$$

By 1) and 2),  $Y^\alpha \subset X^\alpha$ .

□

**DEFINITION 3.6.** Let  $(X, g)$  be a GTS.

(1) An ordinal number  $\gamma$  is called the derived length of  $X$ , if  $\gamma = \min\{\alpha : X^\alpha = \emptyset\}$ .  $\gamma$  is denoted by  $\delta(X)$ .

(2)  $X$  is called to have a derived length, if there is an ordinal number  $\alpha$  such that  $X^\alpha = \emptyset$ .

**THEOREM 3.7.** Let  $(X, g)$  be a GTS. Then  $X$  is  $g$ -scattered if and only if  $X$  has a derived length.

**PROOF.** *Sufficiency.* Suppose that  $X$  is not  $g$ -scattered. Then  $I(A) = \emptyset$  for some  $A \in 2^X - \{\emptyset\}$ .

**Claim**  $A \subset X^\alpha$  for any ordinal number  $\alpha$ .

(1) Let  $x \in A$  and  $U \in g(x)$ . Since  $I(A) = \emptyset$ ,  $U \cap A \neq \{x\}$ . Note that  $x \in U \cap A$ . Then  $|U \cap A| \geq 2$  and so  $U \cap (A - \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$ . Now  $U \cap (X - \{x\}) \supset U \cap (A - \{x\})$ . Then  $U \cap (X - \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$ . This implies  $x \in d(X) \cap X$ . By Remark 3.9,  $x \in X^1$

Thus  $A \subset X - I(X) = X^1$ , i.e.,  $A \subset X^\alpha$  when  $\alpha = 1$ .

(2) Suppose  $A \subset X^\beta$  for any  $\beta < \alpha$ . We will prove  $A \subset X^\alpha$  in the following cases.

a)  $\alpha$  is a successor ordinal number.

Let  $x \in A$  and  $U \in g(x)$ . By the proof above,  $U \cap (A - \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$ . By induction hypothesis,  $A \subset X^{\alpha-1}$ . Then  $U \cap (X^{\alpha-1} - \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$ . This implies  $x \in d(X^{\alpha-1}) \cap X^{\alpha-1}$ . By Remark 3.9,  $x \in X^\alpha$ .

Hence  $A \subset X^\alpha$ .

b)  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal number.

By induction hypothesis,  $A \subset X^\beta$  for any  $\beta < \alpha$ . Then  $A \subset \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} X^\beta = X^\alpha$ .

Since  $X$  has a derived length,  $X^\delta = \emptyset$  for some  $\delta$ . By **Claim**,  $A \subset X^\alpha$ , we have  $A = \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction.

*Necessity.* Suppose that  $X$  has no derived length. By Lemma 3.10, there exists an ordinal number  $\delta$  such that  $X^\delta = X^{\delta+1}$ . By Remark 3.9,  $X^{\delta+1} = X^\delta - I(X^\delta)$ . Then  $I(X^\delta) = \emptyset$ . Note that  $X$  has no derived length. Then  $X^\delta \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that  $X$  is not  $g$ -scattered, a contradiction.  $\square$

#### 4. Some properties of $g$ -scattered spaces

In this section we give some properties of  $g$ -scattered spaces.

##### 4.1. Simple properties of $g$ -scattered spaces.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $(X, g_1)$  and  $(X, g_2)$  be two GTS's with  $g_1 \subset g_2$ . If  $(X, g_1)$  is  $g_1$ -scattered, then  $(X, g_2)$  is  $g_2$ -scattered.*

**PROOF.** This holds by Proposition 2.6.  $\square$

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $(X, g)$  be a GTS and let  $Y \in 2^X - \{\emptyset\}$ . If  $(X, g)$  is  $g$ -scattered, then  $(Y, g_Y)$  is  $g_Y$ -scattered.*

**PROOF.** Let  $A \in 2^Y - \{\emptyset\}$ . Since  $(X, g)$  is  $g$ -scattered,  $I(A) \neq \emptyset$ . Pick  $x \in I(A)$ . Then  $U \cap A = \{x\}$  for some  $U \in g(x)$ . Note that  $U \cap Y \in g_Y(x)$ . Now  $(U \cap Y) \cap A = U \cap (A \cap Y) = U \cap A = \{x\}$ . Then  $x \in I_{g_Y}(A)$  and so  $I_{g_Y}(A) \neq \emptyset$ . Thus,  $(Y, g_Y)$  is  $g_Y$ -scattered.  $\square$

**4.2.  $g$ -scatteredness and GT-sums.** Let  $\{(X_\alpha, g_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  be a family of pairwise disjoint strong GTS's, i.e.,  $X_\alpha \cap X_\beta = \emptyset$  whenever  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Put  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} X_\alpha$  and

$$g = \{A \subset X : A \cap X_\alpha \in g_\alpha \text{ for each } \alpha \in \Gamma\}.$$

Then  $(X, g)$  is a GTS, which is denoted by  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} X_\alpha$ , and called the generalized topological sum (briefly, GT-sum) of  $\{(X_\alpha, g_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ .

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $(X, g)$  be the sum of  $\{(X_\alpha, g_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ . Then  $(X, g)$  is  $g$ -scattered if and only if  $(X_\alpha, g_\alpha)$  is  $g_\alpha$ -scattered for each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .*

**PROOF.** *Sufficiency.* Let  $A \in 2^X - \{\emptyset\}$ . Since  $A = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} (A \cap X_\alpha)$ ,  $A \cap X_\beta \neq \emptyset$  for some  $\beta \in \Gamma$ . By  $X_\beta$  is  $g_\beta$ -scattered,  $I_{X_\beta}(A \cap X_\beta) \neq \emptyset$ . Pick  $x \in I_{X_\beta}(A \cap X_\beta)$ . Then there exists  $U \in g_\beta(x)$  such that  $U \cap (X_\beta \cap A) = \{x\} = U \cap A$ . Since

$$U \cap X_\alpha = \begin{cases} U \in g_\beta, & \alpha = \beta, \\ \emptyset \in g_\alpha, & \alpha \neq \beta, \end{cases}$$

we have  $U \in g(x)$ . This implies  $x \in I(A)$  and then  $I(A) \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $(X, g)$  is  $g$ -scattered.

*Necessity.* Obviously,  $g_{X_\alpha} = g_\alpha$  for any  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . By Theorem 3.4, every  $(X_\alpha, g_\alpha)$  is  $g_\alpha$ -scattered.  $\square$

### 4.3. $g$ -scatteredness and $g$ -irresolvableness.

DEFINITION 4.4. Let  $(X, g)$  be a GTS.  $X$  is called  $g$ -resolvable, if  $X$  has two disjoint  $g$ -dense subset. Otherwise,  $X$  is called  $g$ -irresolvable.

In the following we give an example on  $g$ -resolvable spaces.

EXAMPLE 4.5. Let  $X = N$  and

$$g = \{\emptyset, \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 100\}, \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 1000\}\}.$$

Then  $(X, g)$  is a GTS.

Put  $A = \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$  and  $B = \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$ . Then  $X = A \cup B$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .

Since  $cA = \bigcap\{F : F \in g' \text{ and } A \subset F\}$  and  $\{F : F \in g' \text{ and } A \subset F\} = \{X\}$ , we have  $cA = X$ . Similarly,  $cB = X$ .

Hence  $(X, g)$  is  $g$ -resolvable.

LEMMA 4.1. Let  $(X, g)$  be a GTS and let  $A \subset X$ . If  $A \in D$ , then  $A \supset I(X)$ .

PROOF. If  $A \not\supset I(X)$ , then  $I(X) - A \neq \emptyset$ . Pick  $x \in I(X) - A$ . Then  $U \cap X = U = \{x\}$  for some  $U \in g(x)$ . By  $x \notin A$ ,  $X - U = X - \{x\} \supset A$ . Since  $X - U \in g'$  and  $cA = \bigcap\{F : F \in g' \text{ and } A \subset F\}$ , we have  $cA \subset X - U$ . Then  $A \notin D$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

THEOREM 4.6. If  $(X, g)$  is  $g$ -scattered, then  $(X, g)$  is  $g$ -irresolvable.

PROOF. For any  $A, B \in 2^X - \{\emptyset\}$  with  $cA = cB = X$  and  $X = A \cup B$ , by Lemma 4.6,  $A, B \supset I(X)$ . Then  $A \cap B \supset I(X)$ . Since  $X$  is  $g$ -scattered,  $I(X) \neq \emptyset$ . So  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Thus,  $X$  is  $g$ -irresolvable.  $\square$

The following example illustrates that the converse in Theorem 4.7 is not true.

EXAMPLE 4.7. Let  $X = N$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2, 3\}\} \cup \{\{i, i+1, i+2\} : i \in X \text{ and } i > 3\}$  and  $g = \{G : G = \bigcup \mathcal{B}' \text{ for some } \mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}\}$ .

PROOF. Obviously,  $(X, g)$  is a GTS.

Since  $\{1\} \in g(1)$  and  $\{1\} \cap X = \{1\}$ , then  $I(X) \supset \{1\} \neq \emptyset$ .

For any  $A, B \in 2^X - \{\emptyset\}$  with  $cA = cB = X$  and  $X = A \cup B$ , by Lemma 4.6,  $A, B \supset I(X) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $(X, g)$  is  $g$ -irresolvable.

Put  $S = \{2, 3\}$ . By Proposition 2.5,  $I(S) \subset S$ . Then for any  $x \in X - S$ ,  $x \notin I(S)$ .

For any  $U \in g(2)$ ,  $U \cap S = S \neq \{2\}$ . Then  $2 \notin I(S)$ . Similarly,  $3 \notin I(S)$ . Then  $I(S) = \emptyset$ .

Hence  $(X, g)$  is not  $g$ -scattered.  $\square$

### 4.4. Mapping properties on $g$ -scattered spaces.

THEOREM 4.8. Let  $(X, g_X)$  be  $g_X$ -scattered, let  $(Y, g_Y)$  be a GTS, let  $f : (X, g_X) \rightarrow (Y, g_Y)$  be closed bijection. Then the following properties hold:

- (i)  $Y^\alpha \subset f(X^\alpha)$  for every ordinal number  $\alpha$ .
- (ii)  $\delta(Y) \leq \delta(X)$ .
- (iii)  $Y$  is  $g_Y$ -scattered.



PROOF. Since (ii) and (iii) hold by (i) and Theorem 4.5, we only need to prove (i), i.e.,  $Y^\alpha \subset f(X^\alpha)$  for every ordinal number  $\alpha$ .

We use induction on  $\alpha$ .

(1) Since  $Y^0 = Y = f(X) = f(X^0)$ , then  $Y^\alpha \subset f(X^\alpha)$  is true when  $\alpha = 0$ .

(2) Suppose that  $Y^\beta \subset f(X^\beta)$  is true when  $\beta < \alpha$ . It suffices to show that  $Y^\alpha \subset f(X^\alpha)$  in the following two cases.

1)  $\alpha = \beta + 1$  for some ordinal number  $\beta$ .

Suppose  $Y^\alpha \not\subset f(X^\alpha)$ . Then  $Y^\alpha - f(X^\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ . Pick

$$y \in Y^\alpha - f(X^\alpha).$$

Since  $f$  is bijection, there is unique  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = y$ .  $y \notin f(X^\alpha)$ , then  $x \notin X^\alpha$ .

$X$  is  $g_X$ -scattered, then there is  $\delta$  such that  $X^\delta = \emptyset$ . By Remark 4.2.

$X = \bigcup_{\beta < \delta} I(X^\beta)$ . Since  $X^\alpha \supset I(X^\alpha)$  and  $X^\alpha \supset X^\nu$  for every  $\nu \geq \alpha$ , then

$X = (\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} I(X^\beta)) \cup X^\alpha$ . By Definition 4.1.  $(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} I(X^\beta)) \cap X^\alpha = \emptyset$ . There is  $\gamma < \alpha$

such that  $x \in I(X^\gamma)$ , since  $x \notin X^\alpha$ .

There is  $U \in g_X$  such that  $U \cap X^\gamma = \{x\}$ . Then  $\{x\}$  is open in  $(X^\gamma, g_{X^\gamma})$ , and  $X^\gamma - \{x\}$  is closed in  $(X^\gamma, g_{X^\gamma})$ .

$f$  is closed in  $(X, g_X)$ , then  $f|_{X^\gamma}$  is closed in  $(X^\gamma, g_{X^\gamma})$ .

By induction hypothesis,  $f(X^\gamma) = Y^\gamma$ .  $f|_{X^\gamma}$  is closed in  $(X^\gamma, g_{X^\gamma})$ , then  $f(X^\gamma - \{x\}) = Y^\gamma - \{y\}$  is closed in  $(Y^\gamma, g_{Y^\gamma})$ ,  $\{y\}$  is open in  $(Y^\gamma, g_{Y^\gamma})$ .

There is  $V \in g_Y$  such that  $V \cap Y^\gamma = \{y\}$ , then  $y \in I(Y^\gamma)$ . Since  $\gamma < \alpha$  and  $(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} I(Y^\beta)) \cap Y^\alpha = \emptyset$ , then  $y \notin Y^\alpha$ , a contradiction.

2)  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal number.

$$f(X^\alpha) = f\left(\bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} X^\beta\right) = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} f(X^\beta) \supset \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} Y^\beta = Y^\alpha. \quad \square$$

COROLLARY 4.1. Let  $(X, g_X)$  be  $g_X$ -scattered, let  $(Y, g_Y)$  be a GTS, let  $f : (X, g_X) \rightarrow (Y, g_Y)$  be open bijection. Then the following properties hold:

(i)  $Y^\alpha \subset f(X^\alpha)$  for every ordinal number  $\alpha$ .

(ii)  $\delta(Y) \leq \delta(X)$ .

(iii)  $Y$  is  $g_Y$ -scattered.

## References

- [1] G.Artico, U.Marconi, R.Moresco, J.Pelant, Selectors and scattered spaces, *Topology Appl.*, 111(2001), 105-134.
- [2] H.R.Bennett, J.Chaber, Scattered spaces and the class MOBI, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 106(1989), 215-221.
- [3] G.Bezhanishvili, R.Mines, P.J.Morandi, Scattered, Hausdorff-reducible, and hereditarily irresolvable spaces, *Topology Appl.*, 132(2003), 291-306.
- [4] Á.Császár, Generalized topology, generalized continuity, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 96(2002), 351-357.
- [5] Á.Császár, Generalized open sets, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 75(1997), 65-87.
- [6] Á.Császár,  $\gamma$ -connected sets, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 101(2003), 273-279.
- [7] Á.Császár, Separation axioms for generalized topologies, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 104(2004), 63-69.

- [8] Á.Császár, Product of generalized topologies, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 123(2009), 127-132.
- [9] Á.Császár, Extremally disconnected generalized topologies, *Annales Univ Budapest, Sectio Math.*, 47(2004), 151-161.
- [10] E.Ekici, Generalized hyperconnectedness, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 133 (2011), 140-147.
- [11] E.Ekici, Generalized submaximal spaces, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 134 (2012), 132-138.
- [12] S.Fujii, K.Miyazaki, T.Nogura, Vietoris continuous selections on scattered spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan.*, 54(2002), 273-281.
- [13] X.Ge, Y.Ge,  $\mu$ -separations in generalized topological spaces, *Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ.*, 25(2010), 243-252.
- [14] Z.Li, W.Zhu, Contra continuity on generalized topological spaces, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 138(1-2)(2013), 34-43.
- [15] Z.Li, F.Lin, Baireness on generalized topological spaces, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 139(4)(2013), 320-336.
- [16] W.K.Min, Weak continuity on generalized topological spaces, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 124(2009), 73-81.
- [17] V.Kannan, M.Rajagopalan, Scattered spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 43(1974), 402-408.
- [18] V.Kannan, M.Rajagopalan, Scattered spaces II, *Illinois J. Math.*, 21(1977), 735-751.

*Received 12 05 2014, revised 19 08 2014*

SHIJIAZHANG INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
SHIJIAZHANG, HEBEI 050228,  
P.R.CHINA

*E-mail address:* lixiaomin8846@126.com