

Maximum Principles For Some Elliptic Problems

M.M. Al-Mahameed

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce a maximum principle for some semilinear elliptic equations subject to mixed boundary conditions which may be used to deduce bounds on important quantities in physical problems of interest.

1. Introduction

In [6], maximum principles for the functions $p = g(u) |\nabla u|^2 + h(u)$ and $q = g(u) |\nabla u|^2 + c \int_0^u f(s)g(s)ds, c \in R$, which are defined on solutions of the semilinear partial differential equation $\Delta u + f(u) = 0$ in some region $\Omega \subset R^n$ are found using the classical maximum principle [3]. In [7], a maximum principle for the function q at a critical point of u under some conditions on $\partial\Omega$ is introduced. In [2], the following result is proved : Let $u \in C^3(\Omega)$ be a solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u + f(u) &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega \subset R^n, \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

If the boundary $\partial\Omega$ has a nonnegative mean curvature, then the function

$$\Phi = |\nabla u|^2 + 2 \int_0^u f(s)g(s)ds$$

assumes its maximum at a point where $\nabla u = 0$. In [3], maximum principles are derived for certain functions defined for solutions of the equation

$$\Delta u + \lambda\rho(x)f(u) = 0$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35B50, 35J60.

Key words and phrases. Maximum Principles, elliptic equations.

in some region $\Omega \subset R^2$ subject to a mixed boundary condition.

In this paper we derive maximum principles for functions defined for solutions of the semilinear equation

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta u + f(x, u) = 0$$

in some region $\Omega \subset R^n$ subject to a mixed boundary condition.

In order to motivate our work, let us first look at the one dimensional problem

$$(1.2) \quad u_{xx} + f(x, u) = 0.$$

If we multiply (1.2) by u_x we get

$$\frac{1}{2}(u_x^2)_x + f(x, u)u_x = 0,$$

that is

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 + \int_0^u f(x, s)ds - H(x, u) = \text{constant},$$

where $H(x, u)$ satisfies:

$$H_x(x, u) = \int_0^u f_x(x, s)ds.$$

Thus we conclude that the function

$$(1.4) \quad p = u_x^2 + 2 \int_0^u f(x, s)ds - 2H(x, u)$$

is a constant, where u is a solution of (1.2). It is obvious that p satisfies a maximum principle.

Let u be a solution of (1.1) . We look for a function p of the form

$$(1.5) \quad p = |\nabla u|^2 + 2 \int_0^u f(x, s)ds - 2H(x, u),$$

where $H(x, u)$ satisfies:

$$H_{,i(x,u)} = \int_0^u f_{,i}(x, s)ds.$$

Our goal is to find conditions such that (1.5) satisfies a maximum principle.

Let us first give the following lemma.

Lemma. *Let u be a $C^3(\overline{\Omega})$ solution of (1.1) with $f \in C^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$. Then the function p defined by (1.5) takes its maximum either on $\partial\Omega$ or at a critical point of u .*

Proof. By differentiating (1.5) we obtain

$$(1.6) \quad p_{,i} = 2u_{,j}u_{,ij} + 2fu_{,i}$$

$$(1.7) \quad \Delta p = p_{,ii} = 2u_{,ij}u_{,ij} + 2u_{,j}u_{,iij} + 2f\Delta u + 2f_{,i}u_{,i}.$$

Now we have

$$(1.8) \quad \Delta u = -f,$$

$$(1.9) \quad u_{,iij} = -f_{,j}.$$

This allows us to rewrite (1.7) as

$$(1.10) \quad \Delta p = 2u_{,ij}u_{,ij} - 2f^2.$$

From (1.6) and Schwarz's inequality, it follows that

$$(1.11) \quad (p_{,i} - 2fu_{,i})(p_{,i} - 2fu_{,i}) = 4u_{,ji}u_{,j}u_{,ki}u_{,k} \leq 4u_{,ij}u_{,ij} |\nabla u|^2.$$

Consequently, by (1.10) and (1.11), we can write

$$(1.12) \quad \Delta p + \frac{L_k p_{,k}}{|\nabla u|^2} \geq 0,$$

where

$$L_k = 2fu_{,k} - \frac{1}{2}p_{,k}.$$

Hopf's first maximum principle [4] implies the lemma.

2. The Result and its Proof

We give our result by the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let u be a $C^3(\overline{\Omega})$ solution of the problem*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u + f(x, u) &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \Gamma_1, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2, \quad \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 = \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $f \in C^1(\Omega \times R)$, Ω is a convex domain in R^2 and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$ denotes the outward normal derivative. Then the function p defined by (1.5) takes its maximum at a critical point of u .

Proof. We will show that p cannot attain its maximum on $\partial\Omega$ unless it is attained at a critical point of u which is on Γ_2 .

Suppose that p takes its maximum at a point $M \in \Gamma_1$. Then M can't be a critical point of u . Since $u = 0$ on Γ_1 , we have $|\nabla u| = \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right|$ and

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} = 2u_n u_{nn} + 2f u_n,$$

Where u_n denotes the outward normal derivative. By introducing normal coordinates in the neighbourhood of the boundary, we can write

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta u = u_{nn} + k u_n = -f,$$

where k denotes the curvature of the boundary. Thus it follows that

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} = -2k u_n^2,$$

and since Ω is convex, $\frac{\partial p}{\partial n} \leq 0$ at M . This contradicts Hopf's second maximum principle [5].

We now suppose that p takes its maximum at $M \in \Gamma_2$ and that M is not a critical point of u . Since $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0$ on Γ_2 , we have $|\nabla u| = \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|$ and

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} = 2u_t u_{tn},$$

where u_t denotes the tangential derivative of u . In terms of normal coordinates in the neighbourhood of the boundary, we have

$$(2.5) \quad u_{tn} = u_{nt} - k u_t,$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial n} = -2k u_t^2 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2.$$

Thus we again have a contradiction of the second maximum principle when Ω is convex. The lemma, and our calculations, gives the theorem.

Example. Let $u \in C^3(\overline{\Omega})$ be a positive solution of the problem

$$\Delta u + 4u - (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \exp(\alpha^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where

$$\Omega = \{x = (x_1, x_2) \mid |x| < \alpha\}$$

and

$$f(x, u) = 4u - (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \exp(\alpha^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2),$$

it follows from the theorem (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\nabla u|^2 + 2 \int_0^u 4s - (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \exp(\alpha^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2) ds - 2H(x, u) \\ & \leq \max_{\Omega \cup \partial\Omega} \left[2 \int_0^u 4s - (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \exp(\alpha^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2) ds - 2H(x, u) \right] \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u|^2 & \leq \max_{\Omega \cup \partial\Omega} [4u^2 - 2(x_1^2 + x_2^2) \exp(\alpha^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2)u] \\ & \quad - [4u^2 - 2(x_1^2 + x_2^2) \exp(\alpha^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2)u] \end{aligned}$$

From the above inequality, we get

$$|\nabla u|^2 \leq 4(u_M^2 - u^2) + 2\alpha^2 e^{\alpha^2} u_M,$$

where u_M is the maximum of u in $\Omega \cup \partial\Omega$.

3. Concluding Remarks

1. One can prove the result of the lemma for the function

$$p = g(u) |\nabla u|^2 + 2 \int_0^u f(x, s)g(s)ds - 2H(x, u) \quad \text{with suitable assumptions on } g(u)$$

as in [5].

2. Theorem 2.1 is also valid for $n > 2$, [5].

3. One may give an extension of the maximum principle for a uniformly elliptic equation $Lu + f(x, u) = 0$ under suitable assumptions, [5].

References

- [1] A. Greco, A Maximum principle for some second order elliptic semilinear equations. *Rend. Sem. Fac. Sci. Univ. Cagliari*. 59(2)(1989), 147-154.
- [2] L.E. Payne and I. Stakgold, Nonlinear problems in nuclear reactor analysis. *Lecture Notes in math.* 322(1972) 298-307.
- [3] P.w. Schaefer and R.P. Sperb. Maximum principles and bounds in some inhomogeneous elliptic boundary value problems. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 8(1977), 871-878.
- [4] M.H. Protter and Weinberger, Maximum principles in differential equations, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1984.
- [5] M.H. Protter and Weinberger, Maximum principles in differential equations, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1984.
- [6] M.M. Al-Mahameed, Maximum principles for semilinear elliptic partial differential equations, *Far East. j. applied Math.* 3(3)(1999), 287-292.
- [7] M.M. Al-Mahameed, A maximum principle for the P-function at a point where $\text{grad } u = 0$ in some region $\Omega \subset R^n$. *J. Ins. Math. and Comp. Sci.* 14(1)(2001), 65-71.

Received 28 06 2006, revised 06 03 2007

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
IRBID NATIONAL UNIVERSITY,
P.O. BOX 2600, IRBID,
JORDAN

E-mail address: `al_mahameed2000@yahoo.com`